UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,959	01/30/2004	Kyung-geun Lee	1793.1193	2509
49455 7590 11/15/2007 STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP			EXAMINER	
1400 EYE STR			COLEMAN, VANESSA V	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/766,959	LEE, KYUNG-GEUN
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Vanessa (Brandi) Coleman	2627
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Air This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 33,35 and 39-44 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 33,35 and 44 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 39-43 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:	Date

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 5, filed 10 August 2007, with respect to claims 33, 35 and 44 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 33, 35 and 44 has been withdrawn.
- 1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 5 and 6, filed 10 August 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 39-44 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Shoji et al. US Patent No 7170841 (hereafter "Shoji"), in further view of Lee et al., US Patent NO 7085221 (hereafter "Lee").

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 39 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shoji et al., US Patent No 7170841.

Regarding Claim 39, Shoji discloses an apparatus to reproduce and/or record

Application/Control Number: 10/766,959 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

data from an optical information storage medium including a plurality of areas (Fig. 3, "recording/reproduction apparatus 300"), comprising: a pick-up unit ("optical head 303") to reproduce and/or record data from and/or on the plurality of areas of the optical information storage medium; and a controller ("CPU 309") to control the pick-up unit to reproduce and/or record data ([0070]) in the form of pit patterns from and/or on the plurality of areas including a first area ("disc information area 107"), a second area ("data area 110"), and a transition area ("spare area 109") positioned between the first and second areas in order to distinguish the first area from the second area (Fig. 1, areas 107, 108, 109, 111, 112, and 113), wherein a first track pitch of the first area is different from a second track pitch of the second area ([0079]).

Regarding Claim 43, Shoji discloses the apparatus of claim 39, wherein the first area and the second area are not the same type and are one of a burst cutting area (BCA), the lead- in area, the user data area, and the lead-out area (see Fig. 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2627

4. Claims 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Shoji et al, US Patent No 7170841 (hereafter "Shoji"), in view of Lee et al, US Patent No 7085221 (hereafter "Lee").

5.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Regarding Claim 40, Shoji discloses the apparatus of Claim 39. Shoji does not disclose that the controller controls the pick-up unit to read a first pit pattern from the first area, and a second pit pattern from the second area.

Lee discloses an optical information storage medium wherein data is recorded and reproduced to/from a plurality of areas. Lee further discloses that data is recorded in a first area with a first pattern and in a second area in a second pattern (see Figs. 5A-5C) and the first and second areas are distinguished by a transition area, where the different areas feature different frequencies. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the optical information storage medium of Shoji with the data patterns of the optical information storage medium of Lee, the motivation being to achieve increased readability of a read/write signal of a reproducing apparatus (See Lee, Col. 4, lines 53-67).

Regarding Claim 41, Shoji in view of Lee disclose the apparatus of Claim 40, wherein the first and second pit patterns each include one of a straight single pattern, a straight random pattern, a straight specific pattern, a wobbling single pattern, a wobbling random pattern, a wobbling specific pattern, and no pit patterns (see Lee, Figs. 5A-5C; where the claimed feature is met in light of the "one of" limitation).

Regarding Claim 42, Shoji in view of Lee disclose the apparatus of Claim 41, wherein amplitudes of the wobbling patterns gradually increase or decrease (where claimed feature is met because claim 41 was met by the reference's straight pattern and no pit pattern, claim 42 merely defining a characteristic of claim 41's wobble pattern).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,959 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 33, 35, and 44 are allowed.

7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record, alone or in combination, fails to disclose a reproducing and/or recording apparatus for use with an optical information storage medium including a lead-in area, a user data area, and a loud-out area, comprising: a pick-up unit which reproduces and/or records data from and/or on the optical information storage medium; and a controller which controls the pick-up unit to reproduce data from at least one of a burst cutting area (BCA), a lead-in area, a user data area, and a lead-out area of the optical storage, wherein the lead-in area comprises a first area having a first track pitch, a second area having a second track pitch different from the first track pitch, and a transition area provided between the first area and the second area.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanessa (Brandi) Coleman whose telephone number is (571) 272-9081. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:30-6; 1st Fri off, 2nd Fri 8:30-5.

Art Unit: 2627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vanessa (Brandi) Coleman Art Unit 2627

YME YOUNG

VC